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Bawdy Bodies: Satires of Unruly Women

Late eighteenth-century commentators often cited the florescence of graphic caricature and satire during the Georgian era as evidence of the personal liberty and freedom enjoyed by the British citizen. The openness with which satirists ridiculed political figures—including the royal family—and their overt rejection or dismantling of high art conventions broadcast the notion that one of the privileges of free-born Britons was the expression of independent thought. Yet, while the florid grotesqueries and humorous satires that were displayed and sold in British print shops appear to challenge convention, many of these satires expressed trenchantly conservative views, especially concerning social roles and manners.

The works in this exhibition represent a sampling of the thousands of satiric prints depicting women that were created in the late eighteenth century. As the majority of these works demonstrate, the satires and caricatures mobilized against women are loath to celebrate their newly gained visibility in Georgian society. Women who dared transgress or test the boundaries of propriety that circumscribed their gender were ridiculed harshly, and many reputations were slandered. This is a sharp contrast to the experience of their male counterparts, high-profile men (including politicians, writers, aristocrats, and performers) who competed for the spotlight and proudly collected satiric images of themselves and their peers. For those women unlucky enough to be targeted by the satirist’s barbs, the experience could be disastrous, as they had few resources to fight back or correct the damaging imagery that could eclipse their carefully constructed reputations.

Characterized by comically grotesque figures performing lewd and vulgar actions, bawdy humor provided an especially poignant vehicle to target and regulate female behavior. This rude humor evokes the negative image of the “bawd,” most commonly imagined as a woman, in the service of demeaning and condemning ridicule. Samuel Johnson’s Dictionary (1755) defined a bawd as

---

Her misfortune [a fall and broken leg] has not sunk but rather, as I thought, increased her flesh; rage and violence perhaps swelling her muscly features. Behold her then, spreading the whole tumbled bed with her huge quaggy carcase; her mill-post arms held up; her broad hands clenched with violence; her big eyes goggling and flaming-red as we may suppose those of a salamander; her matted grizzly hair made irreverend by her wickedness (her clouted head-dress being half off) spread about her fat ears and brawny neck; her livid lips parched, and working violently, her broad chin in convulsive motion; her wide mouth by reason of the contraction of her forehead (which seemed to be half-lost in its own frightful furrows) splitting her face, as it were, into two parts; and her huge tongue hideously rolling in it; heaving, puffing as if for breath, her bellows-shaped and various-coloured breasts ascending by turns to her chin and descending out of sight with the violence of her gaspings.

—Letter from Belford to Lovelace on the death of Mrs. Sinclair, the bawd who conspires in Clarissa’s rape; Samuel Richardson, Clarissa (1747–1748)
a procurer or procuress engaged in “the promotion of debauchery.” “Bawdy,” applied first to language, accordingly is “obscene and unchaste.” The repulsive physical condition of the fat old procuress with running sores on her leg, in Thomas Rowlandson’s *A Bawd on her Last Legs*, suggests an equivalency between her diseased body and her moral corruption with imagery that recalls Samuel Richardson’s account of the death of Mrs. Sinclair, the bawd who conspires in Clarissa’s confinement and rape.

Caricatured female bodies replete with bawdy innuendos of explicit sexual content, or conversely of sexual deficiency and blatant scatology, become highly effective outward expressions of compromised character and deviant moral value for a wide constituency of women—anonymous, celebrity, high society, and even royal. Many of the women whose satirical images are featured in this exhibition were in fact highly accomplished and creative women who attracted satiric attention for crossing political, intellectual, and social boundaries.

**Royals**

Despite the constraining protocols of monarchy, graphic satire increasingly targeted the celebrity or notoriety of royals, especially in response to the accession of the Hanoverian kings to the English throne. The royal consorts too fell victim to the satirists in their own right and in deflected attacks on male kings and princes. The *Festival of the Golden Rump* by an anonymous printmaker is an obscenely scatological representation of the English court in which the perceived power and influence of Queen Caroline (1683–1737) and her political alliance with Prime Minister Robert Walpole play a pivotal role in manipulating King George II, here portrayed as a flatulent satyr. Nor was Queen Charlotte (1744–1818), wife of George III, immune by her royal status from even more venomous attacks by the caricaturists. To the contrary, she was a favorite target of the often very rude James Gillray. *Sin, Death and the Devil: Vide Milton* is an especially brutal, even vulgar
portrayal of her as a half-serpent, an aging hag with withered breasts and Medusa-like locks of writhing snakes. The queen is placed in the middle of a bitter conflict between Pitt and Lord Thurlow rendered here as a Miltonic struggle based on a scene from *Paradise Lost*. Pitt is cast as Death, Thurlow as the Devil, and the queen as Sin. Pitt’s influence on Charlotte and her protection of him are indicated by the bawdy gesture of her outstretched hand concealing, or perhaps grasping, the minister’s groin.

The scandals, dalliances, and affairs of the royals tempted the satirists and delighted their audiences. *Fashionable Contrasts, or the Duchess’s Little Shoe Yielding [sic] to the Magnitude of the Duke’s Foot* is a disarmingly simple yet devastating commentary on the royal marriage of Frederick, Duke of York, the second son of George III, to Frederica, eldest daughter to the King of Prussia, in 1791. Gillray’s amusing allusion to royal intercourse is depicted as a pair of reclining feet: the large masculine buckled shoes of the duke,

---

You may strut, dapper George, but ‘twill all be in vain, We all know ‘tis Queen Caroline, not you, that reign— You govern no more than Don Philip of Spain. Then if you would have us fall down and adore you, Lock up your fat spouse, as your dad did before you.

—Anonymous verse on King George II and Queen Caroline (1730s)
between the tiny ankles and feet of the duchess in jeweled slippers. William Dent too found ample material in the escapades of the royal dukes. Several maidservants gather to examine a cracked chamber pot in Dent’s *A Jord-n for the Duke’s Chamber*, which alludes to a liaison between the Duke of Clarence, the third son of George III, and Dorothy Jordan (1761–1816), a celebrated stage actress. As is often the case with satire, visual verbal puns carry much of the meaning. A “jordan” was a slang word for a chamber pot, lending scatological humor.

**Aging**

The vanity and humiliation of older women inspired some of the more cruel productions of graphic satirists, who reveled in portraying aging or generally dissolute female bodies. William Hogarth invented a number of iconic mocking images. In his print *Morning* (from *The Four Times of Day*), an old woman walking through Covent Garden on her way to church foolishly clings...

---

*Lady Wishfort.* I shall never recompose my features to receive Sir Rowland with any economy of face. This wretch has fretted me that I am absolutely decayed—look, *Foible.*

*Foible.* Your ladyship has frowned a little too rashly indeed, madam. There are some cracks discernible in the white varnish.

*Lady Wishfort.* Let me see the glass. Cracks, sayest thou? Why, I am arrantly flayed! I look like an old peeled wall! Thou must repair me, Foible, before Sir Rowland comes, or I shall never keep up to my picture.

*Foible.* I warrant you, madam. A little art once made your picture like you; and now a little of the same art must make you like your picture. Your picture must sit for you, madam.

Corinna, pride of Drury-Lane
For whom no shepherd sighs in vain;
Never did Covent Garden boast
So bright a battered, strolling toast; ...
Returning at the midnight hour,
Four stories climbing to her bow’r;
Then, seated on a three-legged chair,
Takes off her artificial hair:
Now, picking out a crystal eye,
She wipes it clean, and lays it by,
Her eye-brows from a mouse’s hide,
Stuck on with art on either side,
Pulls off with care, and first displays ’em,
Then in a play-book smoothly lays ’em.
Now dexterously her plumpers draws,
That serve to fill her hollow jaws.
Untwists a wire, and from her gums
A set of teeth completely comes.
Pulls out the rags contrived to prop
Her flabby dugs and down they drop.

—Jonathan Swift, “A Beautiful Young Nymph Going to Bed” (1734)
to fashion though clearly past her prime. Likewise, the bride in
a wintry marriage to a much younger man in Hogarth’s A Rake’s
Progress, Plate 5 is rendered as ridiculously enthusiastic and lustful
despite her decrepit physical condition. The brilliant James
Gillray was particularly caustic in his ridicule of older women
whose vanity tempted them to extreme but futile attempts to
embrace fashionable clothing and recapture youthful beauty. In
A Vestal at ’93, Trying on the Cestus of Venus, an old hag at her toilet
admires herself in a mirror as putti fit her with the stomach pads
that became popular in 1793. Thomas Rowlandson’s Six Stages of
Mending a Face, mockingly “dedicated with respect to the Right
Honble Lady Archer,” charts the progress of Lady Archer (1741–
1801) at different stages of her toilet from nightcap, sagging breasts,
and an empty eye socket through extensive cosmetic repairs that
deceptively transform her into a young, pretty woman.
Political Action

The active participation of the Duchess of Devonshire (1737–1806) in the Westminster elections of 1784 prompted a rash of satirical production. Rowlandson’s *Political Affection* lewdly lampoons the duchess for canvassing on behalf of Charles James Fox. Attacking her motherhood, the artist portrays her suckling a fox at her breast while her child sits neglected. Especially bawdy attacks on the duchess’s canvassing efforts include Rowlandson’s sexually explicit print *The Poll*, which lampoons the state of the poll between rivals Charles James Fox and Sir Cecil Wray as a contest between two bare-breasted female canvassers, the duchess and her rival, Mrs. Hobart (1738–1816), who sit astride a seesaw constructed of a plank resting on a phallic-shaped fulcrum. William Dent chimed in with prints like *Her Grace Carrying a Plumper for Charly*. The duchess, wearing a large hat trimmed with a “fox,” carries on her shoulder a fat butcher and exclaims with lewd innuendo, “I’ll try all measures to bring the matter to a proper issue.”
Fashion

The Duchess of Devonshire was a woman of literary and musical accomplishment whose book *The Sylph: A Novel* (1779) mirrors many features of her own biography. She was equally in the spotlight for her flamboyant fashion, including exaggerated hair styles and enormous headdresses.

Satires and caricatures that generally targeted fashion mavens address anxieties surrounding physical transformation and shape shifting. The transformative nature of costume or dress, which shapes the contours of the body and impacts the wearer’s ability to move freely, is a theme explored in R. Rushforth’s caricature *The Bum Shop*. Rushforth shows a group of women whose figures are ridiculously proportioned. The women crowd a dress shop and clamor to adopt the faddish enhanced silhouettes by padding their hips and posteriors. In their vanity the shoppers conceal their deficiencies by humorously exaggerating their figures while devoting time and expense to chasing the current ideal.

I had sat some time, leaning on my hand, though—God knows!—paying very little attention... when, some of the superfluous ornaments of my headdress, coming rather too near the candle, caught fire, and the whole farrago of ribbons, lace, and gew-gaws was instantly in flames. I shrieked out in the utmost terror and should have been a very great sufferer—perhaps been burnt to death—had not the baron had the presence of mind to roll my head, flames and all, up in my shawl... How ridiculous are the fashions, which render us liable to such accidents! My fright, however, proved more than the damage sustained. When the flames were extinguished, I thought Lady Anne would have expired with mirth, owing to the disastrous figure I made with my singed feathers, &c. The whimsical distress of the heroine of the Election Ball presented itself to her imagination... such is the construction nowadays that a head might burn for an hour without damaging the genuine part of it. A lucky circumstance!

—Lady Georgiana, Duchess of Devonshire, from *The Sylph: A Novel* (1779)
Scandalous Women and Domestic Transgression: Adultery, Divorce and Motherhood, Gamblers

An especially effective type of female ridicule took the form of attacks on breaches of domestic propriety and the obligations of motherhood. The tumultuous marriages and family life of Lady Strathmore were the subject of much gossip, intensified by a trial against her second husband, Andrew Robinson Stoney-Bowes. Gillray’s *The Injured Count Strathmore*, depicts the lady drunk while her young son, whom she reputedly disliked, is neglected in favor of her well-publicized fondness for cats. The imagery of this scene recalls Rowlandson’s attack on the Duchess of Devonshire. Those who squandered time and money at gambling were equally condemned, such as in Gillray’s amusing satire of Lady Archer and Lady Buckinghamshire (1738–1816) in the pillory for their excesses at faro.

Artists, Performers, and Intellectuals

Women who sought recognition for their abilities in arenas that extended beyond the domestic sphere were treated with particular scorn. Whether pursuing an independent intellectual life, devoting themselves to music, or performing on stage, these women who transgressed the gender roles prescribed by their social status were targeted by caricaturists. Thomas Rowlandson’s depiction of members of the Blue Stocking Club expresses particular bile toward women who gathered in intellectual conversation. In Rowlandson’s caricature *Breaking up the Blue Stocking Club*, a measured discussion becomes a brawl that overturns the tea table and transforms a genteel drawing room, the heart of the domestic sphere, into a boxing ring. The women are harridans who in their rage rend their fashionable dress, exposing brawny, muscular bodies, demonstrating that in their intellectual investigations they have assumed the mental and physical characteristics of men.

In eighteenth-century England, actresses were among the most highly visible women in society. The fame they garnered on the
stage extended beyond the walls of the theater to other cultural productions including graphic satires and the periodical press, where not only were the stage performances evaluated, but also their private lives were discussed.

The story of Emma Hamilton (1765–1815), the performer depicted in Frederick Rehberg’s elegant renderings and in James Gillray’s satiric reimagining, exemplifies the narrative of the social-climbing courtesan that so titillated Georgian audiences. Hamilton’s biography, as a country girl who came to London as a courtesan and entertainer, reinforces the cultural association of actresses with prostitution, an association underscored in such print productions as William Hogarth’s *The Harlot’s Progress* (1732). At the time Gillray produced his mocking engravings, Hamilton’s fortunes were in decline and his rendering of the sylph-like and captivating woman she was purported to be, now transformed into a slovenly and bloated matron, provides a moralizing tale and makes Hamilton, whose life was marked by tragedy, a comic spectacle.

The second caution to be given [your daughter] (and which is most absolutely necessary) is to conceal whatever learning she attains, with as much solicitude as she would hide crookedness or lameness; the parade of it can only serve to draw on her the envy, and consequently the most inveterate hatred, of all he and she fools, which will certainly be at least three parts in four of all her acquaintance.

—Lady Mary Wortley Montagu to her daughter, the Countess of Bute, on the education of LMWM’s granddaughter, Lady Mary (1753)
Visionaries and Outliers

Mary Toft (1701–1763) and Johanna Southcott (1750–1814) were two of the most prominent self-proclaimed visionaries in the eighteenth century. In William Hogarth’s satire of Toft, he makes a mockery of the iconography related to the Christian Nativity. Toft, who claimed to give birth to rabbits, is surrounded by quack doctors from London and the shady characters who assisted her in the fraud. The female body is here exposed as the site of fraud and subjected to a medical inspection, which is shown to be so incompetent that the quack doctors are complicit in the deceit. Thomas Rowlandson’s ribald satire of Southcott similarly features the incompetence of doctors, whose voyeuristic probings of the grotesque female body are rendered for comic effect. Like Toft, Southcott claimed a miraculous pregnancy and was subjected to medical examination, here depicted with Southcott brazenly lifting her skirts and inviting the examination. Notably, both Toft and Southcott were from the country and both were members of the lower class; their notoriety demonstrates the cultural unease associated with religious enthusiasm and with the female body as a site of deceit.

Postscript

With the passing of James Gillray in 1815 and Thomas Rowlandson in 1827, bawdy graphic satire lost its most brilliant visual practitioners. So too, the taste for this humor shifted with the rise of Victorian sensibility. In the mid-nineteenth century, publisher Henry George Bohn bought Gillray’s etched copper plates from the Humphrey family for the price of scrap copper. When Bohn re-issued Gillray’s prints, he reorganized them into distinct albums, separating out the “Suppressed Plates,” a series of particularly scandalous and bawdy etchings that Bohn marketed exclusively to gentlemen, and apparently under the counter. Four of Gillray’s prints featured in “Bawdy Bodies”—The Injured Count S[trathmore], Fashionable Contrasts, The Siege of Blenheim, and Patience on a Monument—were all among the suppressed prints. In 2009 a rare copy of one of Bohn’s volumes of “Suppressed Plates,” apparently seized by a Victorian vice squad for its scurrilous and offensive content, was discovered hidden in the offices of the British Ministry of Justice. That volume is now at the Victoria and Albert Museum.
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